1	BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP DONALD DAVIDSON (SBN 231908)					
2	KEVIN J. WOODS (SBN 214819)					
3	SARAH L. BISHOP (SBN 258051) Three Embarcadero Center					
	San Francisco, CA 94111					
4	Telephone: 415-393-2000 Fax: 415-393-2286					
5	donald.davidson@bingham.com					
6	kevin.woods@bingham.com sarah.bishop@bingham.com					
7	BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP					
0	MICHAEL D. BLANCHARD (pro hac vice)					
8	One State Street Hartford, CT 06103-3178					
9	T 860.240.2700					
10	F 860.240.2800 michael.blanchard@bingham.com					
11	Attorneys for Defendants					
12	Wells Fargo Advisors, LLC and Wells Fargo Advisors Financial Network, LLC					
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
15	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION					
16	BRENT BALKEMA, as Trustee for the Jesse J. Balkema Rev Trust, on behalf of himself and all	No. CV 11 00412 SC				
17	others similarly situated,	JOINT STIPULATION BETWEEN				
18	Plaintiff,	PLAINTIFFS AND DEFENDANTS				
19	V.	AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: DATES FOR FILING RESPONSIVE				
	WACHOVIA SECURITIES, LLC; WACHOVIA	PLEADING				
20	SECURITIES FINANCIAL NETWORK, LLC; WELLS FARGO ADVISORS, LLC; and	Place: Courtroom 1				
21	WELLS FARGO SECURITIES FINANCIAL	Judge: Hon. Samuel Conti				
22	NETWORK, LLC,					
	Defendants.					
23						
24						
25	This Stipulation is entered into by and among plaintiff Brent Balkema, on the one					
26	hand, and defendants Wells Fargo Advisors, LLC and Wells Fargo Advisors Financial Network,					
27	LLC, on the other hand, with the following facts:					
28						
	A/74440905.1					

1	A	A.	Plaintiff filed his Complaint in the above-captioned matter in the Southern	
2	District of Indiana on or about November 23, 2009;			
3	I	B.	Defendants filed their Motion To Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint in the	
4	Southern District of Indiana on or about May 3, 2010;			
5	(C.	The case was transferred to this Court with Defendants' Motion still	
6	pending on January 28, 2011;			
7	I	D.	At the Court's instruction, Defendants refiled their Motion in this Court on	
8	April 19, 2011;			
9	I	E.	The Court denied Defendants' Motion and consolidated this action with	
10	the related proceeding, Kagan et al. v. Wachovia Securities, LLC et al. (No. CV 09-5337 SC) on			
11	July 5, 2011;			
12	I	F.	The parties have stipulated, and the Court has ordered, that the deadlines	
13	pertaining to Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Action Certification shall be extended into August,			
14	2011 (see Dock	et Ent	try 74);	
	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED as follows:			
15	I	IT IS	HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED as follows:	
15 16			HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED as follows: dants' response to Plaintiff's Complaint is currently due on Tuesday	
16	1. I July 19, 2011;	Defen		
16 17	1. I July 19, 2011; 2. I	Defen During	dants' response to Plaintiff's Complaint is currently due on Tuesday	
16 17 18	1. I July 19, 2011; 2. I settlement discu	Defend During ussion	dants' response to Plaintiff's Complaint is currently due on Tuesday g the last several weeks, the parties have engaged in extensive good faith	
16 17 18 19	1. I July 19, 2011; 2. I settlement discussettlement agree	Defend During Aussion ement	dants' response to Plaintiff's Complaint is currently due on Tuesday g the last several weeks, the parties have engaged in extensive good faith s and have agreed on the framework in principle for reaching a final	
16 17 18 19 20	1. I July 19, 2011; 2. I settlement discussettlement agree	Defend During Aussion ement	dants' response to Plaintiff's Complaint is currently due on Tuesday g the last several weeks, the parties have engaged in extensive good faith s and have agreed on the framework in principle for reaching a final The parties anticipate that they will complete their negotiations before the	
16 17 18 19 20 21	1. If July 19, 2011; 2. If settlement discussettlement agreed deadlines agreed Entry 74);	Defendation During ussion ement d to in	dants' response to Plaintiff's Complaint is currently due on Tuesday g the last several weeks, the parties have engaged in extensive good faith s and have agreed on the framework in principle for reaching a final The parties anticipate that they will complete their negotiations before the	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1. If July 19, 2011; 2. If settlement discussettlement agreed deadlines agreed Entry 74); 3. S	Defendance During ussion ement d to in Should	dants' response to Plaintiff's Complaint is currently due on Tuesday g the last several weeks, the parties have engaged in extensive good faith s and have agreed on the framework in principle for reaching a final The parties anticipate that they will complete their negotiations before the the Stipulation and Order signed by the Court on June 23, 2011 (Docket	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	1. If July 19, 2011; 2. If settlement discussettlement agreed deadlines agreed Entry 74); 3. S	Defendence During assion ement doto in Should compla	dants' response to Plaintiff's Complaint is currently due on Tuesday g the last several weeks, the parties have engaged in extensive good faith s and have agreed on the framework in principle for reaching a final . The parties anticipate that they will complete their negotiations before the n the Stipulation and Order signed by the Court on June 23, 2011 (Docket d the parties reach an agreement on a final settlement, Defendants' response	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	1. If July 19, 2011; 2. If settlement discussettlement agreed deadlines agreed Entry 74); 3. Sto Plaintiff's Control	Defendence During assion ement doto in Should compla	dants' response to Plaintiff's Complaint is currently due on Tuesday g the last several weeks, the parties have engaged in extensive good faith s and have agreed on the framework in principle for reaching a final . The parties anticipate that they will complete their negotiations before the n the Stipulation and Order signed by the Court on June 23, 2011 (Docket d the parties reach an agreement on a final settlement, Defendants' response	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	1. If July 19, 2011; 2. If settlement discussettlement agreed deadlines agreed Entry 74); 3. Sto Plaintiff's Counnecessary filit	Defendence During assion ement doto in Should compla	dants' response to Plaintiff's Complaint is currently due on Tuesday g the last several weeks, the parties have engaged in extensive good faith s and have agreed on the framework in principle for reaching a final . The parties anticipate that they will complete their negotiations before the n the Stipulation and Order signed by the Court on June 23, 2011 (Docket d the parties reach an agreement on a final settlement, Defendants' response	

1	4. The parties jointl	y request that the deadline for Defendants' response to Plaintiff's			
2	Complaint be extended to August 5, 2011.				
3					
4	DATED: July 15, 2011	Bingham McCutchen LLP			
5					
6		By:/s/ Sarah L. Bishop			
7		Donald S. Davidson Michael D. Blanchard			
8		Kevin J. Woods Sarah L. Bishop			
9		Attorneys for Defendants			
10	DATED: July 15, 2011	Kabateck Brown Kellner LLP			
11					
12		By/s/ <u>Karen Liao</u> Richard L. Kellner			
13		Karen Liao			
		Attorneys for Plaintiffs			
14					
15	I, Sarah L. Bishop, attest that I have on file all holograph signatures for any				
16	signatures indicated by a "conformed" signature within this efiled document.				
17					
18					
19					
20	IT IS SO ORDERED.				
21	Defendants shall file an Answer to the Complaint on or before August 5, 2011.				
22					
23		TATES DISTRICT CO			
24	DATED: July 18, 2011	E TRED			
25	·	IT IS SO ORDERED			
26		Z Judge Samuel Conti			
27		Judge Samuer			
28		3 DISTRICT OF CE			
20	A/74440905.1	DISTRICT			